

CRPropa's SDE Solver – Connecting Diffusion Tensor Models with efficient CR Transport



Ruhr University Bochum Lukas Merten and Sophie Aerdker







Ensemble Averaged Transport

Ensemble Averaged Transport

- Time Evolution of **distribution function**
- Information about the **particle ensemble** but not individual CRs
- Abstract quantities, e.g., diffusion tensor need to be provided

Parker Transport Equation



Stochastic Differential Equations

Stochastic Differential Equation



Wiener Process W_t

Properties

- 1. $W_0 = W(t = 0) = 0$
- 2. W is time continuous
- 3. For $s < t \in [0, \infty) \rightarrow W_t W_s \propto N(0, t s)$
- 4. For any $0 \le s \le t \le u < v \in$ [0, ∞) $\rightarrow W_t - W_s$ and $W_v - W_u$ are independent

Trajectories of the standard Wiener process

100 75

> 50 25

-25

-50 -75

-100



Example – One Dimensional SDE

Drift term and constant diffusion

•
$$dx = A(x,t)dt + B(x,t)dW_t$$

- A = 1, B = 1
- x(t=0)=0
- $T_{\rm max} = 1000$

Using SDEs to solve a 1-dimensional diffusion advection equation



Stochastic Differential Equation:

dx = A'(x,t)dt + B'(x,t)dV

From Fokker Planck to Stochastic Differential Equation

Fokker Planck Equation:

$$\frac{\partial f(x,t)}{\partial t} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}A(x,t) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}B(x,t) \end{bmatrix} f(x,t)$$

I to 's

Stochastic Differential Equation:
$$dx = A'(x,t)dt + B'(x,t)dW_t$$

Current Implementation

CRPropa – Cosmic Ray Propagation Framework

Extra-galactic UHECR

in source propagation/ Salactic CR

• Monte Carlo simulation framework

Models individual CRs or phase-space elements

- Includes many of the relevant interactions
- Open Source and modular structure

Basic Principle – The Candidate





How to build a simulation?





DiffusionSDE Module

- Arbitrary background magnetic fields
- Anisotropic transport w.r.t. the background field
- Spatially constant Eigenvalues of diffusion tensor κ_{\parallel} and κ_{\perp}
- Energy dependence is a single power law
- Ultra-Relativistic particles: $v = c_0$

$$\vec{x}_{n+1} - \vec{x}_n = (u_x \vec{e}_x + u_y \vec{e}_y + u_z \vec{e}_z) \cdot h \\ + (\sqrt{2\kappa_{\parallel}} \eta_{\parallel} \vec{e}_t + \sqrt{2\kappa_{\perp}} \eta_{\perp,1} \vec{e}_n + \sqrt{2\kappa_{\perp}} \eta_{\perp,2} \vec{e}_b) \cdot \sqrt{h}$$

Advantages

- Uses a simple algorithm for the SDE
- No transformation needed by the user
- Works in complicated background fields



Disadvantages

- A lot of code for calculation of local trihedron
 - Computation overhead in simple fields
 - Hard to maintain
- Space dependent Eigenvalues not available
 - No sophisticated shock models
 - No drift terms
- Not easy to extend to anomalous diffusion

Variable Diffusion Tensors

From FP to SDE



Fokker Planck rewrite of Parker Transport Equ.

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = \nabla \left((\hat{\kappa} \nabla n - \vec{v})n \right) - \vec{w} \nabla n + p^3 \nabla \vec{w} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(\frac{n}{p^2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(D_{pp} p^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(\frac{n}{p^2} \right) \right) - Ln + S$$

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 (2\hat{\kappa}n) - \nabla \left((\nabla \kappa + \vec{u})n \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} (2Dn) - \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(\left(\frac{2}{p}D + \frac{\partial D}{\partial p} - \frac{p}{3} \nabla \vec{w} \right)n \right) - Ln + S$$

What about terms linear in f(x, t)?

$$\frac{\partial f(x,t)}{\partial t} = \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} A(x,t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} B(x,t) \right] f(x,t) - C(x,t) f(x,t)$$

Usually included in CR transport equations.

\rightarrow Transformation needs to be adapted.

→ Apply weights to the phase space element (*Candidate*)

$$w_0 = \exp(-C(x,t)\mathrm{d}\,t)$$

What about constant terms?

$$\frac{\partial f(x,t)}{\partial t} = \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} A(x,t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} B(x,t) \right] f(x,t) - C(x,t)f(x,t) + D(x,t)$$

Usually included in CR transport equations, e.g., source terms

 \rightarrow Apply additional set of weights to the phase space element (*Candidate*)

 $w_1 = \text{const.}$

Exact form depends on the setting and introduces physics units, too.

Momentum Diffusion

Momentum Diffusion

- Second order Fermi Acceleration
 - Can lead to harder spectra
 - Usually slower than DAS and more efficient at low energies

- Works for constant momentum diffusion coefficient $D_{pp} = \text{const.}$
- Additional Drift terms **not yet** included

Example – Constant Momentum Diffusion



Summary and Outlook

Summary

- Parker Transport Equation is not a Fokker Planck Equation
 - \rightarrow Has to be rewritten
- SDE becomes more complicated:
 - Drifts
 - Weights
- Current implementation is optimized for
 - Constant diffusion tensors
 - Complex background fields

Outlook – General SDE Solver

- Re-Usable, e.g., to solve for distribution function instead of density
- Starting from *time forward* SDE
- Unified handling of spatial and momentum diffusion
- Allow for different SDE solver, e.g., second order schemes
 - Might be needed for drifts at shock fronts

Future Plans

- Implementation and Testing of generalized approach
 - Revise DAS with spatially varying κ
- Examine super- and potentially sub-diffusion in more complex settings
 - See Sophie's talk

Discussion on Design and Requirements of the general SDE Solver



Examples – Transport in the Milky Way

- Source distribution or magnetic field morphology?
- Influence of diffusion ratio ϵ on escape time scales?
- "Classical" scaling of the escape time scale $\tau_{\rm esc} \propto \kappa^{-1}$?

Source Distribution

Compare different source distributions with each other

- Older simulation often assumed a homogeneous cylinder
- Likely source classes (supernova remnants, pulsar wind nebulae, etc.) have a spatial structure
- Burst injection: $S \propto \delta(t t_0)$
- Injected energies: $E/Z = R \in (10 10^5) \text{ TV}$



Time Evolution



Summary

- Source distribution is relevant on short timescales only.
- Magnetic field morphology plays an important role for the stationary CR distribution.
- Diffusion ratio determines the magnetic field's influence on CR density.
- Time scales are decreasing with increasing rigidity.



Escape time depends on diffusion ratio and rigidity

$$\tau_{\rm esc} = (53 \pm 4) \cdot \epsilon^{-0.102 \pm 0.016} \cdot (^{R}/_{10 \text{ TV}})^{0.30 \pm 0.02} \text{ Myr}$$

Examples – Diffusive Shock Acceleration



Constant Diffusion Coefficient



Energy Dependent Diffusion



AdiabaticCooling Module

- Follows the original CRPropa approach:
 - Losses are treated independent of transport
- Works with implemented AdvectionFields
 - **Only if**, getDivergence() is provided
- No drift terms included or provided

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = -\frac{p}{3}(\nabla \cdot \vec{u}) \cdot h$$