Diffusion of Energetic Particles in Turbulent Magnetic Fields

Horst Fichtner, Jens Kleimann, Sean Oughton Klaus Scherer, Dustin Schröder, Tobias Wiengarten

Institut für Theoretische Physik IV, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Germany Department of Mathematics, University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand



The first in-situ exploration campaign of the heliosphere is completed



The Significance of Knowing the Turbulence Conditions

Inner heliosphere:

- interpretation of spacecraft data
- understanding of space weather
- input to models of the outer heliosphere

multi-spacecraft observations:



Dresing et al. [2012]

Of particular importance for the transport of energetic particles is the **turbulent inner heliospheric magnetic field**

The Significance of Knowing the Turbulence Conditions

Global heliosphere:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left[\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\kappa} \nabla F \right] + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left[\rho^2 D \frac{\partial F}{\partial \rho} \right] - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla F + \frac{\rho}{3} \left(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \right) \frac{\partial F}{\partial \rho} + S(\mathbf{r}, \rho, t)$$



- anisotropic spatial diffusion: tensor $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\kappa}$ (r, p, B(t))
- scalar momentum diffusion: coefficient D(r, p, B(t)) ~ 1/∠
- convection and drift: velocity u(t)
- adiabatic energy changes: ∇ · u(t) ≠ 0
- sources/sinks: S(r, p, t)

• modulation of cosmic ray energy spectra

anisotropic spatial

diffusion: tensor

scalar momentum

diffusion: coefficient

 $D(\mathbf{r}, p, B(t)) \sim 1/\angle$ convection and drift:

changes: $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}(t) \neq 0$

 $\overleftrightarrow{\kappa}$ (r, p, B(t))

velocity u(t)

۲

adiabatic energy

sources/sinks:

S(r, p, t)

The Significance of Knowing the Turbulence Conditions

Global heliosphere:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left[\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\kappa} \nabla F \right] + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left[\rho^2 D \frac{\partial F}{\partial \rho} \right] - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla F + \frac{p}{3} \left(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \right) \frac{\partial F}{\partial \rho} + S(\mathbf{r}, \rho, t)$$



• modulation of cosmic ray energy spectra

The Significance of Knowing the Turbulence Conditions

Outer heliosphere:



- only stellar wind downstream region observed in situ
- responsible for a significant decrease of cosmic ray flux (shielding)
- (apparently dominated by compressible turbulence)

Transport equation:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left[\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\kappa} \nabla F \right] + \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left[p^2 D \frac{\partial F}{\partial p} \right] - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla F + \frac{p}{3} \left(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \right) \frac{\partial F}{\partial p} + S(\mathbf{r}, p, t)$$







• κ_{\parallel} , κ_{\perp} are mainly determined by the turbulence



- κ_{\parallel} , κ_{\perp} are mainly determined by the turbulence
- different ways to obtain these coefficients



Phenomenolocically:	Analytically:
 fits to data (outdated) 	 quasilinear theory (κ) nonlinear guiding center theory (κ_⊥)
(Moraal, Potgieter)	(Matthaeus, Shalchi)

Phenomenolocically:	Analytically:	MHD simulations:
 fits to data (outdated) 	 quasilinear theory (κ) nonlinear guiding center theory (κ_⊥) 	 scale separation self-consistent evolution
(Moraal, Potgieter)	(Matthaeus, Shalchi)	(Adhikari,Wiengarten)

Phenomenolocically:	Analytically:	MHD simulations:	Full-Orbit simul.
 fits to data (outdated) 	 quasilinear theory (κ) nonlinear guiding center theory (κ_⊥) 	 scale separation self-consistent evolution 	 prescribe turbulence compute particle trajectories
(Moraal, Potgieter)	(Matthaeus, Shalchi)	(Adhikari,Wiengarten)	$(\rightarrow$ F. Effenberger)

this talk	next talk
MHD simulations:	Full-Orbit simul.
 scale separation self-consistent evolution 	 prescribe turbulence compute particle trajectories
(Adhikari,Wiengarten)	(ightarrow F. Effenberger)

Outline of the Talk

- Introduction (\checkmark)
- Large-Scale Plasma Transport
- One-Component Turbulence Transport
- Two-Component Turbulence Transport
- Application: Cosmic Ray Modulation
- Summary

Large-Scale Plasma Transport

Theoretical Framework I: Large-Scale Plasma Transport

MHD equations:

with

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0$$

$$\partial_t (\rho \mathbf{v}) + \nabla \cdot [\rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} + (p + |\mathbf{B}|^2/2) \ \mathbb{1} - \mathbf{BB}] = \mathbf{f}$$

$$\partial_t e + \nabla \cdot [(e + p + |\mathbf{B}|^2/2) \ \mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{B})\mathbf{B}] = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{f}$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0$$

= **'heliobase'** (Zhao &

、 Hoeksema [2010])

$$e = \frac{\rho |v|^2}{2} + \frac{|B|^2}{2} + \frac{p}{\gamma - 1}$$

E + v × B = 0
 $\nabla \cdot B = 0$,

Theoretical Framework I: Large-Scale Plasma Transport

Inner boundary conditions:

(a) potential-field modelling (FDIPS model) for $1 - 2.5R_{\odot}$:



'source surface' at $2.5R_{\odot}$

Wiengarten et al. [2014] (using GONG maps)

(b) WSA + PFSS + 'Detman et al. [2006,2011]'-construction of solar wind values for $2.5 - 21R_{\odot}$

Validation: Comparison to Spacecraft Data (Year 2007)



Reconstruction of measurements simultaneously obtained with three spacecraft:

STEREO A at 1 AU

STEREO B at 1 AU

Ulysses at $\geq 1.2 \ AU$

= 4-D data set

Dresing et al. [2009]

Validation: Comparison to Spacecraft Data (Year 2007)



Outline Undisturbed HMF 1-Component-Model Refinement 2-Component Model Summary

Validation: Comparison to Spacecraft Data (Year 2007)



Basic idea:

• split fluctuation quantities in average and fluctuating part:

$$v = U + \delta u$$
; $U = \langle v \rangle$ and $B = \langle B \rangle + \delta b$

Basic idea:

• split fluctuation quantities in average and fluctuating part:

$$v = U + \delta u$$
; $U = \langle v \rangle$ and $B = \langle B \rangle + \delta b$

• limit analysis to incompressible fluctuations:

 $\delta\rho=\mathbf{0}$

Basic idea:

• split fluctuation quantities in average and fluctuating part:

$$v = U + \delta u$$
; $U = \langle v \rangle$ and $B = \langle B \rangle + \delta b$

- limit analysis to incompressible fluctuations: $\delta \rho = 0$
- re-write equations for fluctuating components in terms of *Elsasser variables*:

 $z^{\pm} = \delta u \pm \delta b / \sqrt{
ho}$

Basic idea:

• split fluctuation quantities in average and fluctuating part:

$$v = U + \delta u$$
; $U = \langle v \rangle$ and $B = \langle B \rangle + \delta b$

- limit analysis to incompressible fluctuations: $\delta \rho = 0$
- re-write equations for fluctuating components in terms of Elsasser variables: $z^{\pm} = \delta u \pm \delta b / \sqrt{\rho}$
- combine the latter into

$$Z^{2} := \frac{\langle \mathbf{z}^{+} \cdot \mathbf{z}^{+} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{z}^{-} \cdot \mathbf{z}^{-} \rangle}{2} = \langle (\delta u)^{2} \rangle + \langle (\delta b)^{2} / \rho \rangle \quad \text{norm. energy}$$

$$Z^{2}\sigma_{C} := \frac{\langle \mathbf{z}^{+} \cdot \mathbf{z}^{+} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{z}^{-} \cdot \mathbf{z}^{-} \rangle}{2} = 2\langle \delta u \cdot \delta b / \sqrt{\rho} \rangle \quad \text{cross helicity}$$

$$Z^{2}\sigma_{D} := \langle \mathbf{z}^{+} \cdot \mathbf{z}^{-} \rangle = \langle (\delta u)^{2} \rangle - \langle (\delta b)^{2} / \rho \rangle \quad \text{norm. energy difference}$$

• evolution of large-scale solar wind get new terms due to fluctuations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathsf{V}) &= 0 \qquad ; \qquad \mathsf{V} = \mathsf{U} - \Omega \times \mathsf{r} \\ \partial_t (\rho \mathsf{U}) + \nabla \cdot \left[\rho \mathsf{V} \mathsf{U} + \rho + |\mathsf{B}|^2 / 2) \ \mathbb{1} - \mathsf{B} \mathsf{B} \end{aligned} \right] \\ &= -\rho(\mathsf{g} + \Omega \times \mathsf{U}) \\ \partial_t e + \nabla \cdot \left[e \mathsf{V} + (\rho + |\mathsf{B}|^2 / 2) \ \mathsf{U} - (\mathsf{U} \cdot \mathsf{B}) \mathsf{B} \right] + \mathsf{q}_H \\ &= -\rho \mathsf{V} \cdot \mathsf{g} \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathsf{B} + \nabla \cdot (\mathsf{V} \mathsf{B} - \mathsf{B} \mathsf{V}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

• evolution of large-scale solar wind get new terms due to fluctuations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathsf{V}) &= 0 \qquad ; \qquad \mathsf{V} = \mathsf{U} - \Omega \times \mathsf{r} \\ \partial_t (\rho \mathsf{U}) + \nabla \cdot \left[\rho \mathsf{V} \mathsf{U} + \rho + |\mathsf{B}|^2 / 2) \, \mathbb{1} - \mathsf{B} \mathsf{B} + \rho_w \mathbb{1} - \sigma_D \rho Z^2 / (2B^2) \mathsf{B} \mathsf{B} \right] \\ &= -\rho (\mathsf{g} + \Omega \times \mathsf{U}) \qquad ; \qquad p_w = (\sigma_D + 1)\rho Z^2 / 4 \\ \partial_t e + \nabla \cdot \left[e\mathsf{V} + (\rho + |\mathsf{B}|^2 / 2) \, \mathsf{U} - (\mathsf{U} \cdot \mathsf{B})\mathsf{B} \right] + \mathsf{q}_H - \mathsf{V}_A \rho Z^2 \sigma_C / 2 \\ &= -\rho \mathsf{V} \cdot \mathsf{g} - \mathsf{U} \cdot \nabla \rho_w - (\mathsf{V}_A \cdot \nabla \rho) Z^2 \sigma_C / 2 + \rho Z^3 f^+ (\sigma_C) / (2\lambda) \\ &+ \mathsf{U} \cdot (\mathsf{B} \cdot \nabla) [\sigma_D \rho Z^2 / (2B^2)\mathsf{B}] - \rho \mathsf{V}_A \cdot \nabla (Z^2 \sigma_C) \\ &\partial_t \mathsf{B} + \nabla \cdot (\mathsf{V} \mathsf{B} - \mathsf{B} \mathsf{V}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Wiengarten et al. [2015]

• evolution of large-scale solar wind get new terms due to fluctuations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathsf{V}) &= 0 \qquad ; \qquad \mathsf{V} = \mathsf{U} - \Omega \times \mathsf{r} \\ \partial_t (\rho \mathsf{U}) + \nabla \cdot \left[\rho \mathsf{V} \mathsf{U} + p + |\mathsf{B}|^2/2 \right) \, \mathbb{1} - \mathsf{B} \mathsf{B} + p_w \, \mathbb{1} - \sigma_D \rho Z^2/(2B^2) \mathsf{B} \mathsf{B} \\ &= -\rho (\mathsf{g} + \Omega \times \mathsf{U}) \qquad ; \qquad p_w = (\sigma_D + 1)\rho Z^2/4 \\ \partial_t e + \nabla \cdot \left[e\mathsf{V} + (p + |\mathsf{B}|^2/2) \, \mathsf{U} - (\mathsf{U} \cdot \mathsf{B})\mathsf{B} \right] + \mathsf{q}_H - \mathsf{V}_A \rho Z^2 \sigma_C/2 \\ &= -\rho \mathsf{V} \cdot \mathsf{g} - \mathsf{U} \cdot \nabla p_w - (\mathsf{V}_A \cdot \nabla \rho) Z^2 \sigma_C/2 + \rho Z^3 f^+(\sigma_C)/(2\lambda) \\ &+ \mathsf{U} \cdot (\mathsf{B} \cdot \nabla) [\sigma_D \rho Z^2/(2B^2)\mathsf{B}] - \rho \mathsf{V}_A \cdot \nabla (Z^2 \sigma_C) \\ &\partial_t \mathsf{B} + \nabla \cdot (\mathsf{V}\mathsf{B} - \mathsf{B}\mathsf{V}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Wiengarten et al. [2015]

• evolution of fluctuations:

$$\partial_{t}Z^{2} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{U}Z^{2} + \mathbf{V}_{A}Z^{2}\sigma_{C}) = \frac{Z^{2}(1-\sigma_{D})}{2}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{U} + 2\mathbf{V}_{A} \cdot \nabla(Z^{2}\sigma_{C}) + Z^{2}\sigma_{D}\hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{U}$$
$$- \frac{\alpha Z^{3}f^{+}}{\lambda} + \langle \mathbf{z}^{+} \cdot \mathbf{S}^{+} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{z}^{-} \cdot \mathbf{S}^{-} \rangle$$
$$\partial_{t}(Z^{2}\sigma_{C}) + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{U}Z^{2}\sigma_{C} + \mathbf{V}_{A}Z^{2}) = \frac{Z^{2}\sigma_{C}}{2}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{U} + 2\mathbf{V}_{A} \cdot \nabla Z^{2} + Z^{2}\sigma_{D}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_{A}$$
$$- \frac{\alpha Z^{3}f^{-}}{\lambda} + \langle \mathbf{z}^{+} \cdot \mathbf{S}^{+} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{z}^{-} \cdot \mathbf{S}^{-} \rangle$$
$$\partial_{t}(\rho\lambda) + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{U}\rho\lambda) = \rho\beta \left[Zf^{+} - \frac{\lambda}{\alpha Z^{2}} \left(\langle \mathbf{z}^{+} \cdot \mathbf{S}^{+} \rangle (1-\sigma_{C}) + \langle \mathbf{z}^{-} \cdot \mathbf{S}^{-} \rangle (1+\sigma_{C}) \right) \right]$$

 $(S^{\pm} \hat{=} (\text{pick-up ion}) \text{ source terms}; \alpha, \beta \text{ Karman-Taylor constants}; f^{\pm} = f^{\pm}(\sigma_{c}))$

Wiengarten et al. [2015]

Results: One-Component Turbulence Evolution





solar wind turbulence is anisotropic:



solar wind turbulence is anisotropic:



transport process	1-comp. model	
parallel diffusion κ_{\parallel} perpendicular diffusion κ_{\perp} drifts κ_A momentum diffusion D_{pp}	$\sim \frac{B^2}{\delta B^2}$ $\sim \frac{\delta B^2}{B^2}$ $= \kappa_A \left(\frac{\delta B^2}{B^2}\right)$ $= D_{pp} \left(\frac{\delta B^2}{B^2}\right)$	



solar wind turbulence is anisotropic:



transport process	1-comp. model	
parallel diffusion κ perpendicular diffusion κ _⊥ drifts κ _A momentum diffusion D _{pp}	$\sim \frac{B^2/\delta B^2}{\sim \delta B^2/B^2}$ $= \kappa_A \left(\frac{\delta B^2}{B^2} \right)$ $= D_{pp} \left(\frac{\delta B^2}{B^2} \right)$	



solar wind turbulence is anisotropic:



transport process	1-comp. model	2-comp. model
parallel diffusion κ_{\parallel} perpendicular diffusion κ_{\perp} drifts κ_A momentum diffusion D_{pp}	$\sim \frac{B^2}{\delta B^2}$ $\sim \frac{\delta B^2}{B^2}$ $= \kappa_A \left(\frac{\delta B^2}{B^2}\right)$ $= D_{pp} \left(\frac{\delta B^2}{B^2}\right)$	$\sim B^2/\delta B_{sl}^2$ $\sim \delta B_{2D}^2/B^2$ $= \kappa_A \left(\delta B_{sl}^2/B^2, \delta B_{2D}^2/B^2\right)$ $= D_{pp} \left(\delta B_{sl}^2/B^2, \delta B_{2D}^2/B^2\right)$

quasi-2D	$\longleftarrow distinction \ of \longrightarrow$	wave-like
fluctuations	$m{z}^{\pm}(m{r},m{x})=m{q}^{\pm}+m{w}^{\pm}$	fluctuations
$Z_{\pm}^2 = \left\langle \boldsymbol{q}_{\pm} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{\pm} ight angle$	Elsasser 'energies'	$W_{\pm}^2 = \langle oldsymbol{w}_{\pm} \cdot oldsymbol{w}_{\pm} angle$
$2Z^2 = Z_+^2 + Z^2$	total 'energies'	$2W^2 = W_+^2 + W^2$
$2H_c^z = Z_+^2 - Z^2$	cross helicities	$2H_c^w = W_+^2 - W^2$
$\sigma_{c,z} = \frac{Z_+^2 - Z^2}{Z_+^2 + Z^2}$	normalized cross helicities	$\sigma_{c,w} = \frac{W_+^2 - W^2}{W_+^2 + W^2}$
$\sigma_D^z = \frac{\left\langle \boldsymbol{q}_+ \cdot \boldsymbol{q} \right\rangle}{Z^2}$	normalized energy differences	$\sigma^w_D = rac{\langle oldsymbol{w}_+ \cdot oldsymbol{w} angle}{W^2}$

$$\delta B_{2D}^2 = \frac{\mu_0 \rho}{r_A + 1} Z^2 \quad ; \quad \delta B_{sl}^2 = \frac{\mu_0 \rho}{r_A + 1} W^2 \quad ; \quad r_A = \frac{1 + \sigma_D^{Z,W}}{1 - \sigma_D^{Z,W}}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial Z^2}{\partial t} &= -\nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{V} Z^2 + \mathbf{V}_A H_c^z \right) + 2\mathbf{V}_A \cdot \nabla H_c^z + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{U}) Z^2 - \sigma_D^z Z^2 \left[\frac{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{U}}{2} - \hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{U} \right] \\ &- \alpha_z \left[\frac{Z^3}{\ell} f_{zz}^+ + \frac{2WZ^2}{\ell} \frac{f_{zw}^+}{1 + Z/W} \right] + \alpha_z X^+ + \frac{Z^2}{r} C_{sh}^Z |\mathbf{U}|, \\ \frac{\partial W^2}{\partial t} &= -\nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{V} W^2 + \mathbf{V}_A H_c^w \right) + 2\mathbf{V}_A \cdot \nabla H_c^w + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{U}) W^2 - \sigma_D^w W^2 \left[\frac{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{U}}{2} - \hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{U} \right] \\ &- \alpha_w \left[\frac{2W^2 Z}{\lambda} \frac{f_{wz}^+}{1 + \lambda/\ell} + \frac{2W^4 \lambda_{\parallel}}{\lambda^2 V_A} (1 - \sigma_{c,w}^2) \right] - \alpha_z X^+ + \frac{W^2}{r} C_{sh}^W |\mathbf{U}| + \hat{E}_{\text{PI}}, \\ \frac{\partial H_c^z}{\partial t} &= -\nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{V} H_c^z + \mathbf{V}_A Z^2 \right) + 2\mathbf{V}_A \cdot \nabla Z^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{U}) H_c^z + \sigma_D^z Z^2 \left[\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_A + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B}}{\sqrt{4\pi\rho}} \right] \\ &- \alpha_z \left[\frac{Z^3}{\ell} f_{zz}^- + \frac{2WZ^2}{\ell} \frac{f_{zw}^-}{1 + Z/W} \right] + \alpha_z X^-, \\ \frac{\partial H_c^w}{\partial t} &= -\nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{V} H_c^w + \mathbf{V}_A W^2 \right) + 2\mathbf{V}_A \cdot \nabla W^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{U}) H_c^w + \sigma_D^w W^2 \left[\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_A + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B}}{\sqrt{4\pi\rho}} \right] \\ &- \alpha_w \left[\frac{2W^2 Z}{\lambda} \frac{f_{wz}^-}{1 + \lambda/\ell} \right] - \alpha_z X^-, \\ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial t} &= \dots ; \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} = \dots ; \frac{\partial \lambda_{\parallel}}{\partial t} = \dots \end{split}$$

Validation: Comparison to Spacecraft Data



Wiengarten et al. [2016]:

- blue/black lines = colatitudes of 15°/90°
- blue/black symbols = Ulysses/Voyager 2

Application: Ab-initio modulation of CR proton spectra

wave-like/quasi-2D fluctuations (top/botton):





para./perp. diffusion coefficient at 1 GeV:



modulated CR energy spectra



Moloto et al. [2018]

Summary

Results:

- MHD modelling of turbulence transport:
 - self-consistent computation of large- and small-scale quantities
 - one- and two-component turbulence transport
- kinetic modelling of energetic particle transport:
 - analytical theories provide transport (diffusion, drift) coefficients
 - solution of kinetic transport equations (Parker & focused-transport eq.)
 - confrontation with observed energy spectra in the heliosphere

Improvements (see following talk by Frederic Effenberger):

- prescribe turbulence with given properties (structure functions, intermittency)
- compute transport coefficients from full-orbit simulations (particle trajectories)